IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF APRIL TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 7109 of 2005

Between:

1. V.Rambabu, S/o.Late Apparao,
   R/o.Indira Colony, Rayavaram (V) &(M), E.G.District.
2. P.Rambabu, S/o.Apparao,
   R/o.Indira Colony, Rayavaram (V) &(M), E.G.District.

.... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The District Collector, East Godavari District, at Kakinada.
2. The Revenue Divisional officer, Rajahmundry, East Godavari District.
3. The Mandal Revenue officer, Rayavaram, E.G.District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue appropriate writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in proposing to grant house site pattas on the road margin in Sy.Nos.102, 103 & 104 of Indira colony of Rayavaram village and Mandal, East Godavari District as illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently declare the action of the respondents in not considering the representations of the petitioners dt.5-3-2005 in respect of the proposed action of the respondents to grant house site pattas.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.VENKATESH

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 7109 of 2005

O R D E R:

The petitioners allege that steps are being taken to grant house site pattas on the road margin in Sy.Nos.102, 103 & 104 of Indira Colony of Rayavaram Village and Mandal, East Godavari District obstructing free passage. In the circumstances, the petitioners made a representation to the 3rd respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, Rayavaram marking copies to the respondents 1 and 2. The said representations were received in the office of the respondents on 8-3-2005. The only grievance of the petitioners is that without considering the objections raised by them, the respondents are proceeding with grant of pattas. Hence the writ petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for revenue appearing for the respondent, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the objections raised by the petitioners in their representation dated 8-3-2005 and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law as expeditiously as possibly preferably within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of . No costs.

_____

01-04-2005

Note: CC by two days

B/o Mjl/*

To

1. The District Collector, East Godavari District, at Kakinada.
2. The Revenue Divisional officer, Rajahmundry, East Godavari District.
3. The Mandal Revenue officer, Rayavaram, E.G.District.

4. 2 CCs to G.P for Revenue, High court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

5. 2 CD copies.